

AD-A043 472

CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIV PITTSBURGH PA DEPT OF COMPUTER --ETC F/G 9/2
PROBLEMS IN BUILDING AN INSTRUCTABLE PRODUCTION SYSTEM.(U)
JUN 77 M RYCHENER, C FORGY, P LANGLEY

F44620-73-C-0074
NL

AFOSR-TR-77-1140

UNCLASSIFIED

| OF |
AD
A043472



END
DATE
FILMED

9 -77
DDC

ADA 043472

18 AFOSR-TR-77-1140

7

6 Problems in Building an Instructable
Production System

10 M./Rychener, C./Forgy, P./Langley, J./McDermott,
A./Newell and K. Ramakrishna

June 1977

11 Department of Computer Science
Carnegie-Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

125 p.

9 *Interim rept.*

This paper has been submitted for presentation at IJCAI-77, Boston, MA, August, 1977.

This research was supported in part by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency under Contract no. F44620-73-C-0074 and monitored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

15

16 2344 17 A2

AU No. _____
DDC FILE COPY

DDC
RECEIVED
AUG 29 1977
B

403 081

7

0.11.77-577-22

ST 100-411/04

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC)
NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DDC
This technical report has been reviewed and is
approved for public release IAW AFR 190-12 (7b).
Distribution is unlimited.
A. D. BLOSE
Technical Information Officer

100-411/04

Keywords: production system, instruction, means-ends analysis, general intelligence, representation.

The Instructable Production System project is exploring the incremental growth properties of production systems (PSs) by constructing a generally intelligent problem-solving system by gradual (external) instruction. The definition of PS and our current architecture are given elsewhere in this volume (Newell, 1977; Forgy and McDermott, 1977). The present task domain is an abstract job shop, in which finished goods are made from raw materials. We start with a Kernel (a small PS of about 200 productions) which has the basic capabilities to grow by instruction: (1) process a restricted natural language; (2) form productions from its input; (3) impose PS control conventions on them; and (4) perform basic manipulations in its environment (Rychener & Newell, 1977). We take the basic computational and representational adequacy of PSs for AI programs as established.

This short note presents some immediate difficulties we expect to encounter. These derive from the instructional situation: (1) The instructor can observe the system in the environment and can communicate with it freely, but cannot examine its internal structure directly. (2) Interaction with the system is in an external language, analogous to natural language. (3) The initiative for interaction is mixed. (4) Instruction may be on any topic: specific tasks, general properties of tasks, the language of communication, possible errors, how to plan and explore, etc. (5) Knowledge and system structure gained through instruction accumulates over the life of the system.

Our current approach uses means-ends analysis as the basic philosophy of both problem-solving and instruction. Goals are symbol structures in Working Memory that describe desired states and processing entirely through the means and tests. Means are encoded as productions that recognize goals and assert subgoals whose satisfaction will achieve the goals. Tests are encoded as productions that recognize the conditions of satisfaction of the goal. The means productions form a means-ends network of goals. Instruction consists of elaborating the nodes of this network as required by a task.

Now for the difficulties on the immediate horizon:

1. Contact: How can initial contact be made with existing knowledge that might be relevant to the task at hand, which is not part of the means-ends network deliberately created by instruction for the task? Use of the data acquired through experience is required in any intelligent agent. Once detected, much processing can be spent on discovering relevance, but initial contact may be extremely difficult. Any general intelligent system will have too much knowledge to consider exhaustively. PS architectures exploit this by storing all knowledge as productions which are evoked only if their conditions "see themselves" in the Working Memory. But means productions are acquired in specific contexts and their conditions become keyed to specific goals and task features. One approach might be to generate variations of current Working Memory goals and data until something is evoked.

2. Incoherency: The PS may be essentially incoherent in describing its situation and difficulties to an external instructor. The means-ends network helps (by providing the same level of explanatory capability as in current expert systems), but is not sufficient.

E.g., describe what went wrong from the debris left in Working Memory. The PS's diagnostic and explanatory capabilities are expandable by instruction, but it is currently unclear how this will work.

3. Means-ends analysis efficiency: Initial instruction produces a more elaborate network than is necessary. The instructor uses numerous intermediate goals, both to make his instruction sequences easier to generate and to allow complex procedures to be taught at all; miscommunication leads to a patchwork of variant procedures; the PS uses a goal-encumbered monitoring mode of operation inserting supervisory goals and processes; etc. There are three modes of varying efficiencies: a compiled, efficient mode; ordinary instruction mode; and the monitoring mode. Our primary concern is transforming from the ordinary form to the compiled form, while maintaining a capability to revert back to the other two in debugging situations. This may not be attainable simply through instruction; architectural modification may be required.

4. Utilizing distantly related knowledge: Knowledge about other tasks is imperfect for a given task; it is also embedded in methods and encoded in representations created for (and local to) the distant task. All these aspects cause difficulty, even if contact is made (per 1). A clear symptom will be repetitive instruction to cover minor task variations. One approach is to avoid the difficulty by adopting uniform conventions for encoding. We think this won't work. We favor attempting to map methods to methods (and representations to representations), using ideas from Merlin (Moore & Newell, 1973).

Support. This research was supported in part by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency under Contract no. F44620-73-C-0074 and monitored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

References

- Forgy, C. and McDermott, J., 1977. "OPS: A domain-independent production system language", in *Proc. IJCAI-77*.
- Newell, A., 1977. "Notes on knowledge representation aspects of production systems", in *Proc. IJCAI-77*.
- Moore, J. and Newell, A., 1973. "How can MERLIN understand?", in Gregg, L., Ed., Knowledge and Cognition, Potomac, MD: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Pp. 201-252.
- Rychener, M. D. and Newell, A., 1977. "An instructable production system: Basic design issues", in D. A. Waterman and F. Hayes-Roth, Eds., *Pattern-Directed Inference Systems*, New York, NY: Academic Press. Forthcoming.

ACCESSION for		
NTIS	White Section	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Buff Section	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED		<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION		
BY		
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES		
Dist.	AVAIL.	and/or SPECIAL
A		

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER AFOSR-TR- 77- 1140 ✓	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) PROBLEMS IN BUILDING AN INSTRUCTABLE PRODUCTION SYSTEM ✓		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Inter im
7. AUTHOR(s) M. Rychener, C. Forgy, P. Langley, J. McDermott, A. Newell and K. Ramakrishna		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Carnegie-Mellon University Computer Science Dept. Pittsburgh, PA 15213 ✓		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) F44620-73-C-0074 ✓
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Blvd		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 61102F 2304/A2
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Air Force Office of Scientific Research (NM) Bolling AFB, DC 20332		12. REPORT DATE June 1977 ✓
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 3
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) <p>✓ The Instructable Production System project is exploring the incremental growth properties of production systems (PSs) by constructing a generally intelligent problem-solving system by gradual (external) instruction. The definition of PS and our current architecture are given elsewhere in this volume (Newell, 1977; Forgy and McDermott, 1977). The present task domain is an abstract job shop, in which finished goods are made from raw materials. We start with a Kernel (a small PS of about 200 productions) which has the basic capabilities to grow by instruction: (1) process a restricted natural language;</p>		

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

P1473A)

(2) form productions from its input; (3) impose PS control conventions on them; and (4) perform basic manipulations in its environment. (Rychener & Newell, 1977). (We take the basic computational and representational adequacy of PSs for AI programs as established.)

This short note presents some immediate difficulties we expect to encounter. These derive from the instructional situation: (1) The instructor can observe the system in the environment and can communicate with it freely, but cannot examine its internal structure directly; (2) Interaction with the system is in an external language, analogous to natural language; (3) The initiative for interaction is mixed; (4) Instruction may be on any topic: specific tasks, general properties of tasks, the language of communication, possible errors, how to plan and explore, etc.; (5) Knowledge and system structure gained through instruction accumulates over the life of the system. The

Our current approach uses means-ends analysis as the basic philosophy of both problem-solving and instruction. Goals are symbol structures in Working Memory that describe desired states and processing entirely through the means and tests. Means are encoded as productions that recognize goals and assert subgoals whose satisfaction will achieve the goals. Tests are encoded as productions that recognize the conditions of satisfaction of the goal. The means productions form a means-ends network of goals. Instruction consists of elaborating the nodes of this network as required by a task.

1473B

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)